



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

$\bigcirc V$	Washington, D.C. 20231				TO THE THEODERNAMES	
11	SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
V						
V	08/263,928	06/21/94	SCHLOSSARCYZK	<u> </u>	355930WAB30	
			0.440		KORYTNYK, P	
	MELTZER LIF	PE GOLDSTEIN	34M2/1219 WOLF SCHLISSEL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	AND SAZER	?	OCHETOSEE			
	190 WILLIS MINEQLA, NY	AVENUE 11501		34 03	3	
			. ,	DATE MAILED:		
	This is a communication f	rom the examiner in charg TENTS AND TRADEMAR	e of your application. KS		12/19/94	
	!		ı			
	1					
	This application has b	een examined ·· 🔲 R	esponsive to communication filed on		This action is made fin	
	A shortened statutory perio	od for response to this acti	lan In acc as assets. 3			
	Fallure to respond within the	ne period for response will	cause the application to become abanc	days from	the date of this letter.	
• :	Part I THE FOLLOWING	ATTACHMENT(S) ARE	PART OF THIS ACTION:	20.00. 05 0.3.0. 133		
	1. Notice of Refer	ences Cited by Examiner,	PTC 900		•	
	3. Lu Notice of Art Ci	ted by Applicant, PTO-144	9	otice of Draftsman's Pater	nt Drawing Review, PTO-94	
	5. Information on I	How to Effect Drawing Cha	Inges, PTO-1474 6.	otice of Informal Patent A	oplication, PTO-152.	
	Part II SUMMARY OF A					
	1. 🔼 Claims_	<i>J</i>	18			
	!		18	s	re pending in the application	
	Of the above	, claims			thdrawn from consideration	
	2. Claims					
	. 🗆				ave been cancelled.	
	a. L. Claims			8	re allowed.	
	4. Claims	1-18	/	a		
	5. Claims		·		ге гејестед.	
	are objected to.					
	are subject to restriction or election requirement					
	7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.					
	8. Formal drawings an	required in response to t	his Office action.			
	9. The corrected or sul	stitute drawings have bee	on received on	lindor 27 O F F		
	∴ are □ acceptable;	☐ not acceptable (see exp	or received on	nt Drawing Review, PTO-	l. 1.84 these drawings 948).	
	10. The proposed addit	onal or substitute sheet(s)	of drawings filed	has (have) been 🔲 a	•	
	· examiner; Li disap	proved by the examiner (s	ee explanation).			
1	11. The proposed drawls	ng correction, filed	has been Dappro	ved; disapproved (see	explanation).	
1			rity under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified		red 🗖 not been received	
1	13. Since this application	apposars to be in condition	on for allowance except for formal matter uayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	irs, prosecution as to the	merits is closed in	
1	4. Other		,			

Serial Number: 08/263,928 -2-

Art Unit: 3403

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because they fail to show how the single flapper-type valve member, 21 or 22, is attached to the head and shaped to concurrently control fluid flow through the inlet, admixture and outlet "breaches" as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is of sufficient importance to be described should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Correction is required.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "overpressure free relief chamber" of claim 5 must be shown or the feature cancelled from the claim.

 No new matter should be entered.

Specification

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an adequate written

"Serial Number: 08/263,928" -3-

Art Unit: 3403

description of the invention. The translation into English of the specification has resulted in an awkward, confusing and unclear disclosure of the invention. For example, the differentiation between "load" and "idling" conditions has not been clearly disclosed. The positioning of the valve 21 should be shown in both of these conditions. The sentence on page 8, lines 17-20, is confusing. In fact, the entire specification contains numerous non-idiomatic sentences. The admixture valve is disclosed as being able to be closed, however, this seems to be impossible considering the configuration of the valve shown. On page 10, it is not known what is meant by the term "overpressure". Also on page 10, "pressure regulator control" and "governor control" has been inadequately disclosed. Beginning on page 14, the disclosure of the "especially great negative pressure" condition is unclear. The description of the device utilizing two compression pistons, pages 16-23, is additionally unclear. The use of the word "breaches" throughout the specification is not considered a common or accurate usage in order to describe the referred to structure. Also throughout the specification, the use of the dual numbering system in parenthesis, to refer to functionally similar structure for different embodiments is considered confusing and it would be recommended to only refer to structure pertaining to one embodiment at a time. These are only a few of the errors found

'Serial Number: 08/263,928 -4-

Art Unit: 3403

and it is recommended that a thorough review and re-write of the specification be made so that a clear understanding of the invention could be ascertained. The applicant is reminded that a signed statement acknowledging that no new matter has been added is required when submitting a substitute specification.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-5, 9, 12 and 13-16 are objected to because of the following informalities: Throughout the claims, the use of the dual numbering system in parenthesis is considered indefinite and confusing, for example, in claim 1, "(4,21; 4,22)". They should be corrected to only correspond to a single embodiment.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or

Serial Number: 08/263,928

Art Unit: 3403

on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-3, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Holdsworth ('119). Holdsworth discloses an air compressor comprising a suction chamber 9 connected to a compression chamber, formed by cylinder 7 and piston 20, the connection being made via an inlet valve 17. An outlet chamber 14 is connected to the compression chamber via discharge valve 18 and an admixture chamber 13 is connected to the compression chamber via valve 21 during an idling mode (when the valve 15 is closed). Valve 27 acts to control the operation of the variably controlled valve 21 to lock open or closed the connection between the compression chamber and the admixture chamber and maintain a predetermined pressure therein.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 4-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Korytnyk whose telephone number is (703) 308-2632. The Fax number is (703) 305-3463.

Peter G. Korytnyk

Patent Examiner - Group 3403

December 11, 1994

-5-